

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/729,907	12/04/2000	Michael Ralph Foster	DP-304486	7481
75	90 07/01/2004		EXAMI	INER
VINCENT A. CICHOSZ			TRAN, HIEN THI	
DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Staff P.O. Box 5052, Mail Code: 480-414-420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			ARI UNII	- FAFER NUMBER
			1764	
Troy, MI 48007-5052			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/729,907	FOSTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hien Tran	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 A	pril 2004.					
						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-31 is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or application Papers	vn from consideration.					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 04 December 2000 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		-				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/4/00 & 2/2/04.	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group I, claims 1-21 in the reply filed on 4/9/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the apparatus and the method are merely different aspects of a single invention. This is not found persuasive because the search required for group I is not required for group II as set forth in the previous office action.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 22-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 4/9/04.

Drawings

- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "85" (page 14, line 10); 51' (page 17, lines 14 and 18). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: "82, 86" (Figs. 9-11, etc.). A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to

Art Unit: 1764

the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 5. The drawings are objected to because in Fig. 4 it is unclear as to why the first lip 12 and the gas inlet 16 are both pointed to the wall of the substrate 10; in Figs. 6 and 8 the gas inlets 36, 56 are pointed to the cell of the substrate; in Fig. 12, "62" should be changed to --22--; in Fig. 19 "85" should be pointed to the gap, not the shell 78; in Fig. 20, 85' should be pointed to the gap, not the shell 78. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 6. The drawings have not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the drawings to comply with CFR 1.84(p)(5), e.g. they should include the reference sign(s) mentioned in the specification and vice versa.

Specification

7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 17, line 12 "73" should be changed to --71-- (note page 12, line 26; page 15, line 7; Fig. 15).

Appropriate correction is required.

8. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Art Unit: 1764

Claim Objections

9. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 12, lines 1-2 "further comprising" should be changed to --wherein-- and in line 2 "have" should be changed to --further comprises--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

10. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

11. Claims 10, 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 10, line 2 it is unclear as to how the U-shaped ring is related to the U-shaped attachment set forth in claim 9 and also "the U-shaped ring" lacks positive antecedent basis.

In claim 19, it is unclear as to how the mat support material is related to the mat support material set forth in claim 1.

In claim 20, it is unclear as to which mat support material is implied. See claim 21 likewise.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 1764

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 13. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 14. The art area applicable to the instant invention is that of <u>catalytic converter</u>.

One of ordinary skill in this art is considered to have at least a B.S. degree, with additional education in the field and at least 5 years practical experience working in the art; is aware of the state of the art as shown by the references of record, to include those cited by applicants and the examiner (ESSO Research & Engineering V Kahn & Co, 183 USPQ 582 1974) and who is presumed to know something about the art apart from what references alone teach (In re Bode, 193 USPQ 12, (16) CCPA 1977); and who is motivated by economics to depart from the prior art to reduce costs consistent with the desired product characteristics. In re Clinton 188 USPQ 365, 367 (CCPA 1976) and In re Thompson 192 USPQ 275, 277 (CCPA 1976).

15. Claims 1-16, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vroman (3,978,567) in view of Umin et al (5,980,837).

Vroman discloses a catalytic converter comprising:

a catalyst substrate 13 comprising a catalyst, and having a first lip concentrically disposed about a first end of said catalyst substrate, a second lip concentrically disposed

Art Unit: 1764

about a second end of said catalyst substrate, and an outer surface having at least one concentric structural feature 17 disposed therebetween, wherein an outer surface diameter is less than or equal to a first lip diameter;

a shell 11 having an opening, and concentrically disposed around said catalyst substrate; and

a first resilient support material 18 disposed between said catalyst substrate 13 and said shell 11, concentrically around said catalyst substrate 13, between said first lip and said structural feature 17.

The apparatus of Vroman is substantially the same as that of the instant claims, but is silent as to whether the resilient support may be a mat support material.

However, Umin et al discloses the conventionality of using either wire mesh or mat as a resilient support material.

Since both wire mesh and mat were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made in compressively and securely holding the substrate, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to select either type as a resilient support material in the apparatus of Vroman for the known and expected results of obtaining the same results in the absence of unexpected results as evidenced by Umin et al.

Vroman also discloses a second resilient support material 18 disposed between said second lip and said structural feature 17; a first and second shoulders disposed about the shell and the lips.

Vroman further discloses that the shell and the support material comprise at least one depressed annular area (Figs. 1 and 3).

Vroman discloses provision of end cones at the end of the shell (Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 1764

With respect to the segment shape of the lip and the depressed area, note that the shape of the lips or depressed areas are not considered to confer patentability to the claim. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to select an appropriate shape for the lips or depressed areas, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absence showing any unexpected results. *In re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

16. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vroman (3,978,567) applied to claims 1-16, 18-20 above, and further in view of Gaysert (4,350,664).

Gaysert discloses provision of a mat protection ring.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a mat protection ring as taught by Gaysert in the modified apparatus of Vroman to protect the end of the mat thereof.

17. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vroman (3,978,567) applied to claims 1-16, 18-20 above, and further in view of Merry et al (4,865,818).

Merry et al discloses provision of a mat having a uniform mount density.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a uniform density for the mat as taught by Merry et al in the modified apparatus of Vroman to provide a more consistent performance for the converter.

Art Unit: 1764

Conclusion

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hien Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1454. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hen Tran

HT June 28, 2004 Hien Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764